The Grand Bargain in 2017

Signatories reported actions at an average rate of 52% against the commitments...

52%

...and three workstreams are performing relatively well...

- Workstream 3: Increase the use and coordination of cash
- Workstream 6: A participation revolution
- Workstream 7: Increase collaborative humanitarian multi-year planning and funding

...but progress remains uneven

Commitment 3.1 & 3.6: 89%
Commitment 5.6: 4%

Membership has increased...

- May 2016: 34
- June 2017: 52
- December 2017: 56
- June 2018: 59

...but there are concerns that the quid pro quo is not functioning

Consensus that the Grand Bargain is a catalyst for systemic change...

...but key policy questions remain unresolved

End goal?
- Expanding membership?
- Role at country level?

Recommendations

1. Rationalise, prioritise and target efforts to commitments
2. Lighten the bureaucratic burden on signatories
3. Find pragmatic and creative ways to achieve the same outcomes
4. Define a practical and consistent methodology for assessing progress
5. Get the ‘bargain’ back on track
6. Strengthen political leadership